



TITLE:

# A Word Length Controlled DTOL System with a Periodic Control Function (Algebraic Systems, Formal Languages and Computations)

AUTHOR(S):

Asayama, Takashi; Nishida, Taishin Yasunobu

---

CITATION:

Asayama, Takashi ...[et al]. A Word Length Controlled DTOL System with a Periodic Control Function (Algebraic Systems, Formal Languages and Computations). 数理解析研究所講究録 2000, 1166: 27-34

ISSUE DATE:

2000-08

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/64359>

RIGHT:

# A Word Length Controlled DTOL System with a Periodic Control Function

Takashi Asayama (朝山 隆志)

Taishin Yasunobu Nishida (西田 泰伸)\*

Faculty of Engineering, Toyama Prefectural University,  
Kosugi-machi, 939-0398 Toyama, Japan

## Abstract

We have introduced a new controlled DTOL system, called a word length controlled DTOL system, or a wlcDTOL system for short. A wlcDTOL system is a DTOL system with a control function which maps from the set of nonnegative integers to the set of tables. A wlcDTOL system derives exactly one word from a given word by iterating the table which is the value of the control function of the length of the given word. Thus a wlcDTOL system generates a sequence of words which starts from the axiom. In this paper we prove that a wlcDTOL system with a periodic control function generates a finite combination of DOL sequences.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we will discuss farther property of word length controlled (or wlc for short) DTOL systems which have been first introduced in [5]. We prove that a wlcDTOL system with a periodic control function generates a finite combination of DOL sequences.

We briefly explain the motivation of introducing the wlcDTOL systems. M. Andraşiu, *et al.* have suggested the idea that a slender languages, which has at most  $k$  words of the same length for some nonnegative integer  $k$ , can be used as a key of a cryptosystem [1]. Then many researchers have investigated slenderness condition of known language families eagerly. Slender

---

\*Corresponding author. Email: nishida@pu-toyama.ac.jp

context-free languages are characterized by L. Ilie [3, 4]. Slender context-free languages have the form  $\cup_{\text{finite}} \{uv^iwx^iy \mid i \geq 0\}$  and are easily inferable [11]. G. Păun and A. Salomaa have proved that all D0L languages are slender [8]. There are some other subfamilies of 0L languages which consist of slender languages only [6, 7, 2]. But all known slender languages in these subfamilies have periodic structures, which are fatal weakness as a key of cryptosystems. So we seek new language families which contain complex, preferably like random sequences, languages. The wlcDTOL systems are good candidates for keys of cryptosystems.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet. The element of  $\Sigma$  is called a letter. The set of all finite words over  $\Sigma$  including the empty word  $\lambda$  is denoted by  $\Sigma^*$ . For a word  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , the length of  $w$  is denoted by  $|w|$ . Let  $a$  be a letter in  $\Sigma$ . We denote by  $|w|_a$  the number of occurrences of  $a$  in  $w$ .

Let  $\Sigma = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  be a finite alphabet and let  $w \in \Sigma^*$ . The *Parikh vector*  $\pi$  of  $w$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector given by

$$\pi = (|w|_{a_1}, \dots, |w|_{a_n}).$$

Let  $S$  be an arbitrary set. The cardinality of  $S$  is denoted by  $\text{card}(S)$ .

We denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of nonnegative integers and  $\mathbb{N}_+$  the set of positive integers.

Let  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  be finite alphabets. A mapping  $h$  from  $\Sigma^*$  to  $\Gamma^*$  is said to be a morphism if  $h$  satisfies

$$h(uv) = h(u)h(v)$$

for every  $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ . A morphism from  $\Sigma^*$  to  $\Sigma^*$  is called a morphism over  $\Sigma$ . A morphism  $h$  is said to be  $\lambda$ -free if for every  $a \in \Sigma$ ,  $h(a) \neq \lambda$ . Let  $h$  be a morphism over  $\Sigma$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $w \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $h^n$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(w) &= w \quad \text{and} \\ h^n(w) &= h(h^{n-1}(w)) \quad \text{for } n > 0. \end{aligned}$$

A triplet  $G = \langle \Sigma, h, w \rangle$  is said to be a D0L system if  $\Sigma$  is a finite alphabet,  $h$  is a morphism over  $\Sigma$ , and  $w \in \Sigma^*$ . A D0L system  $G$  generates a sequence of words  $(w_i)$  where  $w_i = h^i(w)$ . A D0L system is called a PD0L system if  $h$  is  $\lambda$ -free.

A triplet  $G = \langle \Sigma, \Pi, w \rangle$  is said to be a DT0L system if  $\Sigma$  is a finite alphabet,  $\Pi$  is a finite set of morphisms over  $\Sigma$ , and  $w \in \Sigma^*$ . A DT0L system  $G$  generates a set of words  $W_k$  in  $k$  steps for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  as follows:

$$W_k = \begin{cases} \{w\} & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \{u \mid u = h_1 \cdots h_k(w) \text{ where } h_1, \dots, h_k \in \Pi\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

So there may be at most  $c^k$  words which are generated in  $k$  steps where  $c = \text{card}(\Pi)$ . A DT0L system is called a PDT0L system if every morphism in  $\Pi$  is  $\lambda$ -free.

We assume the reader is familiar with the rudiments of formal language theory and theory of L systems, see, for example, [9, 10].

### 3 Definitions of word length controlled DT0L systems

A word length controlled DT0L system first appears in [5]. Here we give the definition.

**Definition 1** *A word length controlled DT0L system, or a wlcDT0L system for short, is a 4-tuple  $\langle \Sigma, \Pi, w, f \rangle$  where  $\Sigma$  is a finite alphabet,  $\Pi$  is a set of morphisms over  $\Sigma$  called the set of tables,  $w \in \Sigma^*$  is the axiom, and  $f$  is a partial recursive function from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\Pi$  called the control function.*

A derivation by a wlcDT0L system is defined as follows.

**Definition 2** *Let  $G = \langle \Sigma, \Pi, w, f \rangle$  be a wlcDT0L system. Let  $x$  and  $y$  be words over  $\Sigma$ . Then  $G$  directly derives  $y$  from  $x$  if  $y = f(|x|)(x)$ . If  $f(|x|)$  is not defined, then  $G$  derives nothing from  $x$ .*

By Definition 2, a wlcDT0L system  $G = \langle \Sigma, \Pi, w, f \rangle$  generates a sequence of words  $w = w_0, w_1, \dots, w_i, \dots$  which is given by  $w_{i+1} = f(|w_i|)(w_i)$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . The sequence  $(w_i)$  is called the sequence generated by  $G$ .

A wlcDT0L system  $G = \langle \Sigma, \Pi, w, f \rangle$  is said to be a wlcPDT0L system if every morphism  $h \in \Pi$  is  $\lambda$ -free.

Now we give an example of a wlcPDT0L system.

**Example 1** *Let  $G = \langle \{A, a, b\}, \{h_1, h_2\}, A, f \rangle$  be a wlcPDT0L system where*

$$h_1(A) = aA, \quad h_1(a) = a, \quad h_1(b) = b,$$

$$h_2(A) = bA, \quad h_2(a) = b, \quad h_2(b) = a$$

and

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} h_1 & \text{if } n \text{ is a prime number} \\ h_2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

The first few words in the sequence generated by  $G$  is as follows:

$$w_0 = A, \quad w_1 = h_2(A) = bA, \quad w_2 = h_1(w_1) = baA, \quad w_3 = h_1(w_2) = baaA,$$

$$w_4 = h_2(w_3) = abbbA, \quad w_5 = h_1(w_4) = abbbaA, \quad w_6 = h_2(w_5) = baaabbA,$$

$$w_7 = h_1(w_6) = baaabbaA, \dots$$

Since  $f$  is a total recursive function, the sequence  $(w_i)$  is infinite. We cannot characterize  $(w_i)$  because we do not have an entire characterization of prime numbers.

## 4 Periodic control function

In this section we consider a wlcDTOL system with a periodic control function. Our goal is to establish Theorem 1, which insists the sequence generated by a wlcDTOL system with a periodic control function is made of finite number of DOL sequences. First we define this concept clearly.

**Definition 3** Let  $G = \langle \Sigma, \Pi, w, f \rangle$  be a wlcDTOL system and let  $(w_i)$  be the sequence generated by  $G$ . The sequence  $(w_i)$  is said to be a finite combination of DOL sequences if there are  $k$  DOL systems  $G_j = \langle \Sigma, h_j, u^{(j)} \rangle$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ ) and a nonnegative integer  $n_0$  such that for every  $n \geq n_0$ , there exist  $0 \leq p$  and  $0 \leq j \leq k-1$  satisfying

$$n = n_0 + pk + j \quad \text{and} \quad w_n = u_p^{(j)}$$

where  $u_p^{(j)}$  is the  $p$ -th word in the sequence generated by  $G_j$ .

**Example 2** Let  $G = \langle \{a, b, c\}, \{h_1, h_2\}, a, f \rangle$  be a wlcDTOL system in which

$$h_1(a) = ab, \quad h_1(b) = bc, \quad h_1(c) = c,$$

$$h_2(a) = a, \quad h_2(b) = h_2(c) = \lambda \text{ and}$$

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} h_1 & \text{if } n \leq 10 \\ h_2 & \text{if } n > 10 \end{cases}.$$

Then  $G$  is a finite combination of D0L sequences generated by D0L systems  $G_i = \langle \{a, b, c\}, h, w_i \rangle$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 5$ ) where

$$h(a) = a, \quad h(b) = b, \quad h(c) = c$$

and

$$w_1 = a, \quad w_2 = ab, \quad w_3 = abbc, \quad w_4 = abbcbbc, \quad w_5 = abbcbbcbbb,$$

because the sequence generated by  $G$  begins

$$a, ab, abbc, abbcbbc, abbcbbcbbb, a, \dots$$

The next example shows another wlcDT0L system of finite combination of D0L sequences.

**Example 3** Let  $G = \langle \{a, b\}, \{h_1, h_2\}, a, f \rangle$  be a wlcDT0L system where

$$h_1(a) = ba, \quad h_1(b) = ab, \quad h_2(a) = a, \quad h_2(b) = ab$$

and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} h_1 & \text{if } x = 2m + 1 \text{ (odd number)} \\ h_2 & \text{if } x = 2m \text{ (even number)} \end{cases}.$$

The first few words generated by  $G$  is

$$\begin{array}{ll} a & ba \\ aba & baabba \\ abaaababa & baabbababaabbaabba \\ \dots & \end{array}$$

Then there are two D0L systems  $G_1 = \langle \{a, b\}, g_1, a \rangle$  and  $G_2 = \langle \{a, b\}, g_2, ba \rangle$  such that

$$g_1(a) = aba, \quad g_1(b) = aab$$

and

$$g_2(a) = ba, \quad g_2(b) = baab.$$

Now it is obvious that the sequence generated by  $G$  is a finite combination of the D0L sequences generated by  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .

The control functions of the wlcDT0L systems in the above examples are periodic. We can generalize these examples as follows.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $G = \langle \Sigma, \Pi, w, f \rangle$  be a wlcDTOL system. If  $f$  is ultimately periodic, that is, there exist positive integers  $n_0$  and  $p$  such that for every integer  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $f(n) = f(n + p)$  holds, then the sequence  $(w_n)$  generated by  $G$  is a finite combination of DOL sequences.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Sigma = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_l\}$  and  $\Pi = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k\}$ . Let  $M_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) be the growth matrix corresponding to  $h_i$ , that is, the  $pq$  element  $a_{pq}$  of  $M_i$  is given by  $a_{pq} = |h_i(a_p)|_{a_q}$ . Let  $(w_n)$  be the sequence generated by  $G$  and let  $\pi_n$  be the Parikh vector of  $w_n$ . Let  $\overline{\pi_n}$  and  $\overline{M_i}$  be the image of  $\pi_n$  and  $M_i$  to the residue class ring of modulo  $p$ , that is, the  $i$ -th element  $\overline{x_i}$  of  $\overline{\pi_n}$  satisfies  $\overline{x_i} \equiv x_i \pmod{p}$  where  $x_i$  is the  $i$ -th element of  $\pi_n$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, l$  and  $\overline{a_{pq}}$  of  $\overline{M_i}$  satisfies  $\overline{a_{pq}} \equiv a_{pq} \pmod{p}$  where  $a_{pq}$  is the  $pq$  element of  $M_i$ .

Now it is obvious that for every  $x, y \geq n_0$   $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$  if and only if  $f(x) = f(y)$ . For every  $n \geq n_0$  we have

$$\pi_{n+1} = \pi_n M$$

and

$$\overline{\pi_{n+1}} = \overline{\pi_n} \overline{M_{f(|w_n|)}}.$$

Since  $\overline{\pi_n}$  vary over a finite set, there exist integers  $n \geq n_0$  and  $k_0 \leq p^l$  such that  $\overline{\pi_n} = \overline{\pi_{n+k_0}}$ . Therefore we have that  $|w_n| \equiv |w_{n+k_0}| \pmod{p}$  because  $|w_n| = \pi_n \eta$  where  $\eta$  is the column vector  $\eta = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$ . Now we have the equation

$$f(|w_n|) = f(|w_{n+k_0}|).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\pi_{n+k_0+1}} &= \overline{\pi_{n+k_0}} \overline{M_{f(|w_{n+k_0}|)}} \\ &= \overline{\pi_n} \overline{M_{f(|w_n|)}} \\ &= \overline{\pi_{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that the sequence  $(|w_n| \pmod{p})$  has period  $k_0$  for  $n' \geq n$ . Let  $k_1$  be the least common multiple of  $k_0$  and  $p$ . Then for every  $0 \leq j < k_1$  the same morphism is iterated to  $w_{n+j+ik_1}$  for every  $i \geq 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We note that the reverse of Theorem 1 is not true. For example the wlcDTOL system  $G = \langle \{a, b\}, \{h_1, h_2\}, a, f \rangle$  where  $f$  is given by

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} h_1 & \text{if } n \text{ is not a prime number} \\ h_2 & \text{if } n \text{ is a prime number} \end{cases}$$

and  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are given by

$$h_1(a) = h_2(a) = ab, \quad h_1(b) = h_2(b) = b$$

is an instance of counter-examples because the sequence generated by  $G$  is a finite combination of D0L sequences but the control function is not periodic.

There is another related question of Theorem 1, that is, for every D0L sequences  $(u_i^{(0)}), \dots, (u_i^{(k-1)})$  whether or not there exists a wlcDT0L system  $G$  such that the sequence generated by  $G$  is a finite combination of the given D0L sequences. The answer is no. The D0L sequences  $(a^2, a^2, \dots)$  and  $(a^3, a^3, \dots)$  which are generated by D0L systems  $\langle \{a\}, h, a^2 \rangle$  and  $\langle \{a\}, h, a^3 \rangle$  with  $h(a) = a$  serve an example. Since no morphisms map  $a^2$  to  $a^3$  nor  $a^3$  to  $a^2$ , there are no wlcDT0L systems which generate the sequence  $(\dots, a^2, a^3, a^2, a^3, \dots)$ . This example shows that the finite language  $\{a^2, a^3\}$  cannot be generated by any wlcDT0L system.

## References

- [1] M. Andraşiu, G. Păun, J. Dassow, and A. Salomaa (1990) Language-theoretic problems arising from Richelieu cryptosystems, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **116**, 339–357.
- [2] J. Honkala, On slender 0L languages over the binary alphabet, *Acta Informatica*, to appear.
- [3] L. Ilie (1994) On a conjecture about slender context-free languages, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **132**, 427–434.
- [4] L. Ilie, On length of words in context-free languages, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, to appear.
- [5] T. Y. Nishida (1999) Word length controlled DT0L systems and slender languages, in: J. Karhumäki, H. Maurer, G. Păun, and G. Rozenberg, ed., *Jewels are Forever, Contributions on Theoretical Computer Science in Honor of Arto Salomaa*, Springer, Berlin, 213–221.
- [6] T. Y. Nishida and A. Salomaa (1996) Slender 0L languages, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **158**, 161–176.
- [7] T. Y. Nishida and A. Salomaa (2000) Note on slender 0L languages, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **233**, 279–286.



- [8] G. Păun and A. Salomaa (1992) Decision problems concerning the thinness of DOL languages, *Bull. EATCS* **46**, 171–181.
- [9] G. Rozenberg and A. Salomaa (1980) *The Mathematical Theory of L Systems*, Academic Press, New York.
- [10] A. Salomaa (1973) *Formal Languages*, Academic Press, New York.
- [11] Y. Takada and T. Y. Nishida (1996) A note on grammatical inference of slender context-free languages, in: L. Miclet and C. de la Higuera, ed., *Grammatical Inference: Learning Syntax from Sentences*, Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 1147, Springer, Berlin, 117–125.